SUDARSHAN RESEARCH JOURNAL

Review Paper

India's Regional Mosaic: Challenges to Political Cohesion

Shivam Chauhan, Prof. Inder Singh Thakur*

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Regionalism, as a type of political organization and identity, presents opportunities and challenges in advancing inclusive politics. This abstract explores the complex nature of regionalism and the challenge it poses to political inclusiveness. Regionalism is used to describe the diverse philosophies, cultural identities, and socioeconomic interests found within a given geographical location. Though regionalism can function as a mobilization for collective development and advancement, it also develops issues that hinder the practices of inclusive politics. One such challenge is the capacity of regional identities to exacerbate divisions and feed conflict, derailing efforts to achieve a unified and inclusive political order. Regional economic differences can make inequalities worse and encourage feelings of alienation among marginalized people and hence limit their involvement in politics. Historical grievances and unrecognized disagreements that rely on regional identities can continue cyclical patterns of distrust and exclusion and thus undermine the establishment of inclusive democratic institutions and social coherence. Overcoming the challenges that regionalism poses to the politics of inclusion requires intent undertakings of solving the deep-seated issues, socioeconomic justice, and even sense of belonging among differing regional populations. Societies can harness the potential of regionalism as an engine for collective advancement and social cohesion through inclusive political systems and encouraging discourse across regional borders.

Introduction

Regionalism has become one of the major aspects of Indian politics with the passing of the time. The term regionalism itself has not merely a negative meaning and essence but many-times it used in positive aspect and helps in creating a healthy competition within the groups and enhancing the national development. But its negative impacts have costed so greatly that they outweighed its positive impact and now the word is seen majorly through a negative prism. Before heading on to the discussion of regionalism in politics and its challenges for inclusive politics let's firstly understand the term "regionalism" itself.

Regionalism is a sense or feeling of belongingness among people for the specific region based on language, culture, tradition etc. Every term and philosophy had some positive as well as negative impacts and so is the case with the concept of "regionalism". On the positive aspect it is good to take pride on one's own culture, language and tradition. It also helps to raise a sense of brotherhood and cooperativeness among the people of that region, but the problem arises when this love became obsession and one starts to consider other culture, tradition and language as inferior and discard them without giving any proper attention and understanding. This approach sometimes even causes a challenge to national integrity and security of the nation to some extent. The concept of regionalism is not new in Indian politics and its evolution in Indian politics dates to the pre-independence era. The British rulers promoted the idea of regionalism in order to prevent people from organizing on a large scale against the authority and for the independence of the nation. Keeping people divided on various regional identities was best suited for their politics as it hampers the joint effort for the freedom struggle. However, after the independence from

Author Address: Research Scholar, Centre for Deendayal Upadhyay Studies, Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

***Corresponding Author:** Prof. Inder Singh Thakur

Address: Director, Centre for Deendayal Upadhyay Studies, Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

the Britisher's the nuance of regionalism had not gone from the Indian politics and it soon emerged after the few years of independence. Various linguistic movements started nationwide to secure a separate state for the people belonging to the same language. These demands resulted into the formation of a "States reorganization act-1956". However, the demands of regionalism still not satisfied by this act as the regionalism also covers various other factors other than the language and various movements around the country rose time to time.

The popular "Son of the soil" movements are also related to this concept of regionalism in which the people started to align around their economic interests and they claim their stake on the resources of their land and starts boycotting any other groups and their intervention in their resources. Some regional movements around this "Son of the soil" emerged in states like Maharashtra, Punjab, Haryana, north-eastern states of India which posed a challenge among our policy makers and rulers to resolve the issue and ensure the national security and interest. So, the problem of regionalism in Indian politics is complex one and rises time to time on various occasions.

Review of Literature

In India, regionalism has been a recurring and changing threat to democratic consolidation and national cohesion. According to Sattar (2011), regionalism poses a direct threat to national integration because subnational ambitions frequently jeopardize the more general objective of unity in diversity. An early examination of the cultural and structural foundations of regional politics in India is provided by Fickett (1971), who highlights the influence of ethnic and linguistic identities on regional movements. Like this, Singh et al. (2007) examine how India engages with regionalism both inside and outside of its borders, considering how South Asian diplomacy and domestic fragmentation intersect.

In their study of the growing regionalization of Indian politics, Sanghavi and Thakkar (2000) contend that regional parties have become important players in the federal system, frequently reorienting national politics. Palshikar and Deshpande (2014), who chart the evolution of the political landscape because of the emergence of regional parties and identity-based mobilizations, support this viewpoint. From a more comprehensive theoretical standpoint, Brass (1991) offers crucial insights into nationalism and ethnicity, placing the Indian situation within global trends. In their examination of state formation and development strategies, Chatterjee (1997) and Kohli (2004) make passing reference to the ways in which subnational discontent is fueled by unequal regional development. Jaffrelot (2003) examines the emergence of lower castes, showing how regional assertions based on caste have changed the nature of political competition.

Yadav & Palshikar (2009), Hasan (2002), and Mitra (2007) provide empirical analyses of changing party dynamics, emphasizing the Congress party's conflict with regional ambitions. Rudolph & Rudolph (2001) and Singh & Saxena (2013), on the other hand, highlight the ideological and institutional changes made to India's democratic system to accommodate regional pressures. When taken, these pieces show regionalism as a force that both challenges and shapes Indian democracy, impacting identity, policy, and the framework of political representation.

Research Objectives

1. To critically analyse the socio-political foundations and historical development of regionalism in India, paying special attention to identities based on language, ethnicity, and caste.
2. To examine, using both theoretical frameworks and empirical research, how regionalism affects federal governance and national integration.
3. To evaluate how regional political parties have changed India's democratic and electoral environment since independence.

Research Methodology

Using a qualitative methodology, this study mostly uses descriptive and analytical techniques. It entails analyzing secondary data by critically examining the body of existing literature, which includes scholarly books, journal articles, policy papers, and archival sources. The study tracks the development of regionalism and its effects on Indian politics using historical and comparative methodologies. To comprehend the function of federal dynamics, identity politics, and regional parties within the larger context of Indian democracy, interpretative analysis is emphasized.

Secondary sources, including academic books, peer-reviewed journal articles, policy documents, and election data, provided the data for this study. The literature is categorised thematically around important topics like federalism, identity politics, the emergence of regional parties, and their impact on national politics. The study finds patterns, ideological trends, and regional differences in political behaviour using a content analysis approach. To evaluate how various regions have supported or opposed the notion of national integration within India's democratic framework, comparative analysis is used.

Data Analysis

Major Demands of these regionalist movements

Separate statehood

Perhaps the most striking and chronic consequence of regionalist movements in India has been the on-going call for the establishment of new states. These demands are not random but are the result of a multifaceted interplay of variables of ethnic and linguistic identity, cultural distinctiveness, historical grievances, economic marginalization, and geographical remoteness. Movements such as those for Telangana, Gorkhaland,

Bodoland, and Vidarbha illustrate how diverse communities across India have articulated their aspirations for self-determination and better governance through the lens of regional identity.

Supporters of statehood also commonly argue that current state frameworks do not effectively represent their economic, socio-political, and social interests. Multitude states with multiple populations are usually centred on the dominant areas, causing peripheral or minority societies to feel excluded. The sense of exclusion finds expression in several ways, ranging from failure to develop infrastructure and create jobs to disintegration of cultures and languages. Therefore, separate statehood is a way not only to achieve territorial autonomy, but to claim a different identity, defend local customs, and regain political control. Additionally, the call is often on grounds of administration. Those in favour of it argue that smaller and more unified states have the potential to produce improved governance results by enabling more focused policy-making, effective allocation of resources, and better government accountability. For example, Andhra Pradesh's bifurcation and the creation of Telangana in 2014 was based primarily on allegations of Telangana region's systematic neglect in the spheres of irrigation, education, and public employment. In the same vein, other territories perceive statehood as a remedy that can facilitate local leadership, deepen democratic engagement, and trigger inclusive growth customised to the region's specific socio-economic situation.

Autonomy within state

However, the creation of a separate state is not always perceived as a comprehensive solution to regionalist aspirations. In many cases, even after state formation, sub-regional or tribal groups continue to express feelings of marginalization and demand more autonomy within the newly formed or existing state structures. These demands typically arise from small, indigenous, or tribal communities who reside in remote or underdeveloped areas and feel excluded from mainstream development processes. Their socio-economic lives are often intertwined with local ecological systems, and they fear the loss of control over natural resources—such as forests, rivers, and land—due to commercialization or encroachment by wealthier and more dominant populations within the state. In such cases, these groups call for decentralized governance models such as autonomous councils, special constitutional provisions (like those under the Sixth Schedule), or localized administrative zones. These mechanisms are tools to protect their cultural heritage, safeguard their resource rights, and ensure a more equitable and participatory model of development.

Secessionism

Secessionist movements are the most radical form of regionalism, where some groups or regions in a country seek total autonomy and the freedom to establish an independent nation-state. In contrast with claims for statehood or increased autonomy, which can be accommodated within a country's constitutional framework, secessionist desires amount to an attack on the political unity and territorial integrity of the nation. Such claims are normally based on long-standing historic grievances, perceived ethnic or cultural uniqueness, long-term political marginalization, or accusations of economic exploitation. Yet, from the point of view of any sovereign nation, acceding to such claims is normally perceived as unacceptable since it erodes national unity and establishes a precedent that could fuel similar calls in other places. Governments try usually to reach out to these movements through negotiations, development programs, and sometimes even limited autonomy under special constitutional provisions. However, when all these efforts prove futile or get spurned, these movements tend to get radical and violent. Armed insurgency, guerrilla warfare, and targeted violence become the rule of the day, throwing affected areas into periods of long-lasting turmoil. Examples in India are the Khalistan movement in Punjab in the 1980s, or the ongoing insurgency in certain areas of Jammu and Kashmir, and to an extent in the North-East. It is what makes secessionist movements very difficult, because they get occasional backing from foreign powers, particularly from hostile states which aim at destabilizing the country's internal order. Involvement, such as funding, training, or intellectual support from abroad can strengthen the conflict and extend its resolution. Thus, these movements are not only domestic security threats but also complicate foreign policy and regional stability. The state, thus, must have a multi-faceted strategy—conjoining tough security action with open political participation and developmental work—to stem the secessionist tide without betraying the ideals of unity and integrity enshrined in the constitution.

Major Factors behind the rise of regionalism
Historical and Geographical Isolation

Isolation is one of the major factors behind the rise of regionalism in any state. This isolation can be of various kinds but the historical and the geographical are one of the major one in this kind. In a country like India, it's a major challenge to tackle this menace of isolation because there are various regions which remained historically isolated from the mainstream some of them because of their geographical location and such regions sometimes find it hard to align themselves with the national agenda. In such circumstances, when the people of any region feel insecure and isolated from the main stream they lose interest and confidence on the authority of the state. They develop a sentiment that the current regime and the ruling setup is unable and incapable to understand and address their demands and challenges and they need to find way on their own to counter this current scenario. In such circumstances sometimes they peacefully protest and tries to drive the attention of the authority towards themselves and find out a solution from this problem but, on a radical context when they remained with no hope, they sometimes

promote the tendency of separatism and demands for themselves a separate state.

Regional Parties

The growth of the regional parties in Indian politics is another significant reason for the growth of regionalism in Indian states. Following the 1967 general election several regional parties have come up in the Indian political scene however, they have their presence even in the time of the independence but following the 1967 general election some of them were voted to power and they began influencing the politics and issues of their state. These state parties do have representation on the specific state and many-times they preferred the regional interest even at times on the expense of the national interest. The main motive of such parties is to secure the regional interest in order to keep it relevant and credible among the masses. They do tend to unite people behind different regional identity so that they can put them under one umbrella. The Dravidian movement in Madras, The Khalistan movement in Punjab, The Naga resurgence in Nagaland, Mizo unrest in Mizoram is some of the examples of extremism which have arisen under such notion of regionalism that people had gone to such an extent that they asked for a separate land for themselves which was a threat to the national security of the country. These movements are the responsibility of regional parties to an extent because it has witnessed in a lot of cases that the same parties also granted acceptance to such groups so that they can mobilize people on the grounds of these regional identities in order to increase their vote-bank and keep them out of the purview of the national parties. National parties have a larger perspective on things and they cannot accommodate such regional interest in harmony with the greater interest of the nation and that's when the gap began to get created between them and that is un-bridgeable.

Economic Backwardness

Economic inequality is perhaps the greatest among the most significant contributors to the rise and exacerbation of regionalism in India. When a particular region consistently falls behind its counterparts when it comes to infrastructure, job opportunities, industrial growth, education, and standard of living, its people start to feel that they are marginalized or ignored by the wider national scheme of things. The conspicuous disparity between their socio-economic circumstances and those of more advanced areas nurtures frustration, alienation, and finally regional grievance.

This feeling of relative deprivation usually finds expression in the form of regionalist feelings, where individuals tend to get organized by what they have in common in terms of economic deprivation. A perception that the state and central governments have not taken care of their developmental concerns tends to create a perception that only regional solidarity can ensure that their interests are protected. This has, in most cases, resulted in resistance by migrants or settlers from richer areas, who are perceived as rivals for scarce local resources, employment, territory, or political voice. The perception that "outsiders" are taking advantage of their already limited economic opportunities results in social unrest and, in some instances, violent disturbances.

In their more intense forms, these economic grievances create pressures for more control over local resources, special economic deals, or even statehood. The call for separate statehood thus becomes not just a political or cultural desire but an economic imperative. Certain peripheral elements can further push to pursue outside participation or pursue sovereignty on the assumption that evading central institutions and engaging directly with world economic systems can spur regional growth. But that too raises the issue of national cohesion and the imperative of managing regional disparities through representative and fair governance channels.

Linguistic Factors

In a diverse state like India the linguistic factors also becomes the cause of the rise of the problem of the regionalism. India is a land of multiple languages and various regional dialects. Our constitution has recognized 22 languages in its 8th schedule. So, it's the beauty of our country that we have such a rich linguistic legacy and rich literature available in these languages. But this feature also become challenge on several occasions as the various regional groups which belongs to these different languages starts demanding for a separate province on these linguistic lines and rejects the idea of common national language of the nation. The rise of regionalism in India on linguistic lines is evident through the enactment of the "States Reorganization Act", of 1956. This act came because of the various revolts demanding for separate province all across India.

Key Challenges towards Inclusive Politics

Threat toward national identity

Regionalism, though commonly grounded in genuine socio-cultural and economic issues, is also a major impediment to the establishment of a unified national identity. When citizens start to give more importance to regional or local concerns—linguistic, ethnic, geographical, or grievance-driven—over national interests, it can lead to divided loyalties. These localized identities, as much a part of India's cultural richness, could sometimes contribute to excessive focus on sub-national loyalties at the cost of national cohesion.

This phenomenon is especially problematic in a multi-ethnic, multilingual, multicultural nation like India, where regional agitations have often been motivated by a sense of relative deprivation, economic exclusion, or cultural disaffection. Thus, the recurring calls for separate states like Gorkhaland in West Bengal, or the North-eastern movements for ethnic homelands, are indicative of a deeper sense of disconnection from the national political and cultural discourse.

Consequently, regionalism tends to establish a climate in which agreement on matters of national importance—

resource allocation, implementation of policy, or even constitutional change—is possible. The popular debate becomes polarized, and energies that could be directed towards nation-building get consumed by regional conflicts. This works against the development of a pan-Indian consciousness and an overarching national identity anchored on common values, goals, and democratic ideals. In the process of time, uncontrolled regionalism has the potential to build divisiveness and undermine the ethos of cooperative federalism that is crucial to India's pluralistic democracy. Although it is essential to recognize and accommodate regional aspirations within a democratic setup, it is equally essential that the said aspirations are brought in sync with the overarching objective of national integration and collective advancement.

Balance of Power Challenge

Regionalism in India as well as other parts of the world tends to arise as a response to claiming definite regional interests—be they cultural, economic, political, or environmental. In democratic systems, particularly those founded on majoritarian concepts, more powerful and better-organized groups will get more space in making decisions. These groups tend to enjoy better access to institutional processes, political representation, and policy influence, which helps them bring their interests across effectively as well as obtain them. Conversely, the smaller ethnic, linguistic, tribal, or geographically insular groups often find themselves unable to be heard in the broader political conversation. This disproportionately allocates power and resources, thus creating a structural imbalance in the democratic process.

Such a mismatch represents a serious challenge to the vision of inclusive politics, which seeks to provide all segments of society—irrespective of their strength in numbers-a fair deal of representation and scope for development. In practice, the task of responding to the huge diversity of regional and sub-regional aspirations becomes unmanageable, especially in a country like India, which is highly diversified and diversified in its socio-cultural texture, being ridden by extreme heterogeneity. The inability to address them fully often leads to political alienation, identity-based movements, and even secessionist impulses. Hence, realizing genuinely inclusive politics demands not merely procedural democracy but also substantive equality, where institutional devices such as autonomous councils, special constitutional provisions, and affirmative measures become a vital means of empowering the marginalized regional groups and promoting national integration without suppressing local identities.

Exacerbating conflict

Though regionalism is widely advocated as a vehicle for mutual cooperation and co-development between geographically close countries or communities, it can also be a unintended source of escalating currently underlying tensions or generating new conflict-generating sources. The quest for regional ambitions-political autonomy, economic self-reliance, or cultural identity—can resurrect underlying grievances and unfinished business. These tensions sometimes appear as conflicts over territory, particularly in areas where borders are not well marked or have long been disputed. For instance, border disputes between India and Pakistan (e.g., Kashmir), or between India and China (e.g., Arunachal Pradesh), are often exacerbated by regionalist feelings that champion local identity over national integration.

Moreover, competition for access to and control over natural resources such as water bodies, forests, and mineral reserves can further aggravate interstate or inter-regional disputes. For instance, water-sharing conflicts between riparian states (like the Cauvery river dispute between Karnataka and Tamil Nadu) or between countries (e.g., the Mekong River Basin among Southeast Asian states) can be intensified by strong regional loyalties and political interests. In the political domain, regionalism may also hinder the development of inclusive and cooperative governance structures. When regional parties or movements prioritize parochial interests over national or collective regional interests, it can lead to policy deadlock, fragmentation of the political space, and the erosion of trust among different groups. This can weaken institutions of democratic dialogue and prevent consensus-building on broader developmental or security issues. In international contexts, regional rivalries—such as the India-Pakistan rivalry within SAARC or the competing interests of China and Japan in East Asia—often obstruct meaningful regional cooperation, rendering regional blocs ineffective or dysfunctional. In summary, while regionalism can be a vehicle for cultural preservation and decentralized development, its unbalanced or overly assertive expressions can exacerbate inter-group conflicts, obstruct regional integration, and pose significant challenges to peace, stability, and inclusive politics.

Suggestions and Conclusion

In conclusion, the issue of regionalism in a diverse and pluralistic society like India—often described as a salad bowl of cultures, languages, and ethnicities—is neither sudden nor unexpected. The roots of regional consciousness run deep into India's historical past, with many regional identities tracing their origins to pre-independence socio-political structures and cultural distinctiveness. The post-independence political landscape, despite the constitutional commitment to unity in diversity, has struggled to provide a comprehensive or lasting solution to regional aspirations. The emergence and growing influence of regional political parties, particularly from the 1960s onwards, has added a new dimension to this challenge. While these parties have given voice to localized concerns and sub-national identities, they have also, in certain contexts, intensified regional sentiments and sometimes disrupted the broader national integration process.

India has witnessed numerous regional movements—ranging from demands for separate statehood (like Telangana and Jharkhand) to calls for greater autonomy (such as in the North-East and Gorkhaland). These movements, while legitimate in many of their demands, have at times posed a serious challenge to the cultivation of a strong and cohesive national identity. In such a scenario, the responsibility of safeguarding national unity cannot rest solely on the shoulders of political parties or government institutions. As citizens, we must actively uphold our fundamental duties as enshrined in the Constitution—promoting harmony, renouncing divisive tendencies, and working toward the collective interest of the nation. It is imperative to move beyond narrow regional interests and adopt a mature and balanced perspective that values national integrity without disregarding cultural and regional uniqueness. Instead of engaging in a blame game or fostering antagonism, conflicts arising from regional disparities should be addressed through dialogue, democratic mechanisms, and inclusive development strategies. If we succeed in nurturing mutual respect and peaceful coexistence among diverse groups, then the plurality of identities in India will no longer be a liability. Rather, it will stand as a powerful testament to our democratic ethos and become a model of unity in diversity. Such an approach will pave the way for inclusive politics, participatory governance, and holistic nation-building.

References

1. Brass, P. R. (1991). *Ethnicity and nationalism: Theory and comparison*. Sage Publications.
2. Chatterjee, P. (1997). *State and politics in India*. Oxford University Press.
3. Fickett, L. P., Jr. (1971). The politics of regionalism in India. *Pacific Affairs, 44*(2), 193–210. https://doi.org/10.2307/2755377
4. Hasan, Z. (2002). *Quest for power: Oppositional movements and post-Congress politics in Uttar Pradesh*. Orient Blackswan.
5. Jaffrelot, C. (2003). *India's silent revolution: The rise of the lower castes in North India*. C. Hurst & Co.
6. Kohli, A. (2004). *State-directed development: Political power and industrialization in the global periphery*. Cambridge University Press.
7. Mitra, S. K. (2007). *Politics in India: Structure, process and policy*. Routledge.
8. Palshikar, S., & Deshpande, R. (2014). *The rise of regional parties in India: The changing face of Indian politics*. Routledge India.
9. Rudolph, L. I., & Rudolph, S. H. (2001). *Making U.S. foreign policy: The Indian case*. University of Chicago Press.
10. Sanghavi, N., & Thakkar, U. (2000). Regionalisation of Indian politics. *Economic and Political Weekly, 35*(7), 514–518. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4408927
11. Sattar, S. A. (2011). Regionalism: A great threat to national unity of India. *The Indian Journal of Political Science, 72*(3), 759–764. http://www.jstor.org/stable/41858849
12. Singh, M. P., & Saxena, R. (2013). *Indian politics: Contemporary issues and concerns*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.
13. Singh, S., Bailes, A. J. K., Gooneratne, J., Inayat, M., Khan, J. A., & Singh, S. (2007). India and regionalism. In *Regionalism in South Asian diplomacy* (pp. 25–38). Stockholm International Peace Research Institute. http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep19209.8
14. Sridharan, E. (2002). The fragmented party system and regional parties. *Journal of Indian School of Political Economy, 14*(1), 45–66.
15. Yadav, Y., & Palshikar, S. (2003). From hegemony to convergence: Party system and electoral politics in the Indian states, 1952–2002. *Journal of Indian School of Political Economy, 15*(1–2), 5–44.
16. Yadav, Y., & Palshikar, S. (2009). Between *Fortuna* and *Virtù*: Explaining the Congress' ambiguous victory in 2009. *Economic and Political Weekly, 44*(39), 33–46.
17. Baru, S. (2010). Regionalism and Indian foreign policy. *Indian Foreign Affairs Journal, 5*(4), 459–468.
18. Sharma, A. (2006). Regionalism in India: Causes and cure. *Indian Journal of Political Science, 67*(1), 67–78.
19. Varshney, A. (1998). India's political economy: The gradual revolution. In J. Sachs & N. Bajpai (Eds.), *India in the era of economic reforms* (pp. 103–142). Oxford University Press.
20. Weiner, M. (2001). The struggle for national integration. In A. Kohli (Ed.), *The success of India's democracy* (pp. 193–225). Cambridge University Press.
21. Wilkinson, S. I. (2004). *Votes and violence: Electoral competition and ethnic riots in India*. Cambridge University Press.