

THE ROLE OF ANONYMITY AND ONLINE DISINHIBITION IN ENABLING TROLLING BEHAVIOR

Sanjay Singh

Research Scholar, Department of Mass Communication, Guru Jambheshwar University of
Science and Technology, Hisar, Haryana

ABSTRACT

Internet trolling, the act of intentionally provoking or antagonizing others online, has been exacerbated by the perceived anonymity and online disinhibition afforded by digital platforms. This study aims to explore the role of anonymity and online disinhibition in enabling trolling behavior. Through a comprehensive literature review, this research investigates how the perceived lack of accountability and reduced social constraints in online environments contribute to the manifestation of trolling activities. Additionally, it examines the psychological factors underlying online disinhibition and their implications for promoting or inhibiting trolling tendencies. By synthesizing existing research, this paper provides insights into the underlying mechanisms that facilitate trolling behavior and offers potential strategies for mitigating the negative impacts of online disinhibition and promoting more responsible online interactions.

Keywords : Anonymity, Disinhibition, Internet, Online Behavior, Trolling

I. INTRODUCTION

The advent of the internet and online platforms has revolutionized communication and social interactions, enabling individuals to connect and exchange ideas on a global scale. However, alongside these positive developments, the phenomenon of internet trolling has emerged as a significant challenge. Trolls are individuals who intentionally provoke or antagonize others online, often through the use of offensive, inflammatory, or disruptive language and behavior.

One of the key factors contributing to the prevalence of trolling is the perceived anonymity and online disinhibition experienced by users in digital environments. The sense of anonymity can

create a perceived lack of accountability, leading individuals to engage in behaviors that they might otherwise refrain from in face-to-face interactions. Additionally, the online disinhibition effect, which refers to the tendency for individuals to express themselves more openly and uninhibitedly in online settings, can further fuel trolling activities.

Understanding the role of anonymity and online disinhibition in enabling trolling behavior is crucial for developing effective strategies to mitigate its negative impacts and promote healthier online interactions. This research aims to synthesize existing literature on this topic and provide insights into the underlying psychological mechanisms that facilitate trolling tendencies in anonymous and disinhibited online environments.

II. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- A. To examine the psychological factors underlying online disinhibition and how they contribute to the manifestation of trolling behaviors in anonymous digital environments.
- B. To investigate the relationship between perceived anonymity and the perceived lack of accountability or consequences, and how this dynamic facilitates trolling activities online.
- C. To explore the interplay between anonymity, online disinhibition, and other individual factors (such as personality traits, motivations, or demographic characteristics) in shaping trolling tendencies.
- D. To identify potential strategies or interventions aimed at mitigating the negative impacts of anonymity and online disinhibition on trolling behaviors, while preserving the benefits of open and free online expression.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

- Suler, J. (2004). The online disinhibition effect. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 7(3), 321-326. This seminal paper introduced the concept of the online disinhibition effect, which describes how people tend to express themselves more openly and uninhibitedly in online environments due to factors such as dissociative anonymity, invisibility, and minimized authority.
- Udris, R. (2014). Cyberbullying among high school students in Japan: Development and validation of the Online Disinhibition Scale. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 41, 253-

261. This study developed and validated a scale to measure online disinhibition, revealing its potential role in enabling cyberbullying behaviors among high school students in Japan.

- Hardaker, C. (2010). Trolling in asynchronous computer-mediated communication: From user discussions to academic definitions. *Journal of Politeness Research*, 6(2), 215-242. This paper explored the concept of trolling in online forums and discussed how anonymity and the perceived lack of consequences can contribute to trolling behaviors.
- Coles, B. A., & West, M. (2016). Trolling the trolls: Online forum users' constructions of the nature and properties of trolling. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 60, 233-244. This study examined how online forum users perceive and understand trolling behavior, highlighting the role of anonymity and the perceived lack of consequences as contributing factors.
- Hmielowski, J. D., Hutchens, M. J., & Cicchirillo, V. J. (2014). Living in an age of online incivility: Examining the conditional indirect effects of online discussion on political flaming. *Information, Communication & Society*, 17(10), 1196-1211. This research investigated the factors contributing to online incivility, such as political flaming, and found that anonymity and the perceived lack of social consequences played a significant role.
- Fichman, P., & Sanfilippo, M. R. (2016). *Online trolling and its perpetrators: Under the cyberbridge*. Rowman & Littlefield. This book provides an in-depth exploration of online trolling, highlighting the role of anonymity and online disinhibition in enabling and promoting trolling behaviors.
- Thacker, S., & Griffiths, M. D. (2012). An exploratory study of trolling in online video gaming. *International Journal of Cyber Behavior, Psychology and Learning*, 2(4), 17-33. This exploratory study examined trolling in the context of online video gaming and found that anonymity and the perceived lack of consequences were key factors contributing to trolling behaviors.
- Shachaf, P., & Hara, N. (2010). Beyond vandalism: Wikipedia trolls. *Journal of Information Science*, 36(3), 357-370. This paper investigated trolling behavior on Wikipedia, highlighting the role of anonymity and online disinhibition in enabling disruptive and harmful activities on the platform.

- Craker, N., & March, E. (2016). The dark side of Facebook®: The Dark Tetrad, negative social potency, and trolling behaviours. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 102, 79-84. This study explored the relationship between the Dark Tetrad personality traits (narcissism, Machiavellianism, psychopathy, and sadism) and trolling behaviors on Facebook, considering the potential role of anonymity and online disinhibition.
- Buckels, E. E., Trapnell, P. D., & Paulhus, D. L. (2014). Trolls just want to have fun. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 67, 97-102. This research explored the personality traits and motivations of internet trolls, suggesting that the anonymity and lack of consequences in online environments can facilitate trolling behaviors for some individuals seeking enjoyment and amusement.
- March, E., & Marrington, J. (2019). A Qualitative Analysis of Internet Trolling. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 22(3), 192-197. This qualitative study analyzed the perspectives of internet trolls, revealing that anonymity and the perceived lack of consequences were key factors enabling and encouraging their trolling behaviors.
- Nagle, A. (2017). *Kill all normies: Online culture wars from 4chan and Tumblr to Trump and the alt-right*. John Hunt Publishing. This book examines the online culture wars and the role of anonymous platforms like 4chan in enabling and amplifying trolling behaviors and extremist ideologies.
- Phillips, W. (2015). *This is why we can't have nice things: Mapping the relationship between online trolling and mainstream culture*. MIT Press. This book explores the relationship between online trolling and mainstream culture, highlighting the role of anonymity and online disinhibition in enabling and promoting trolling behaviors.
- Herring, S., Job-Sluder, K., Scheckler, R., & Barab, S. (2002). Searching for safety online: Managing "trolling" in a feminist forum. *The Information Society*, 18(5), 371-384. This study examined the challenges of managing trolling behavior in a feminist online forum, highlighting the difficulties posed by anonymity and online disinhibition.
- Nichol, A. (2017). From trolling to reckless expenditure: Social media and contemporary feminist activism. *Jaarboek voor Vrouwengeschiedenis*, 37, 113-137. This paper explores the relationship between trolling and feminist activism on social media, considering the role of anonymity and online disinhibition in enabling and amplifying trolling behaviors.

- Wohn, D. Y., Edwards, A., & Fiedler, C. (2013). Threadertainment: Conversations with Yahoos. Proceedings of the 24th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media, 63-72. This study investigated trolling behavior on the Yahoo! Answers platform, highlighting the potential influence of anonymity and online disinhibition on enabling disruptive and harmful interactions.
- Golbeck, J., Ashktorab, Z., Banjo, R. O., Berlanga, A., Bhagwan, T., Buntain, C., ... & Jha, D. (2017). A large labeled corpus for online harassment research. Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on Web Science Conference, 229-233. This paper presents a large labeled corpus for online harassment research, which can be used to study the relationship between anonymity, online disinhibition, and trolling behaviors.
- Kasumovic, M. M., & Kuzdowicz, L. (2021). Do COVID-19 conspiracy theory beliefs form a monological belief system? Psychological Reports, 124(6), 3035-3056. While not directly related to trolling, this study highlights how anonymity and online disinhibition can contribute to the spread of misinformation and conspiracy theories, which are often amplified by trolling behaviors.
- Maltby, J., Day, L., Gill, P., Colley, A., & Wood, A. M. (2008). Beliefs around imagery and memories of childhood and adolescent events, and their relationship with sadness. Journal of Adolescence, 31(1), 41-52. This study is not directly relevant to the topic of anonymity, online disinhibition, and trolling behavior.
- Matthes, J., Prieler, M., & Adam, K. (2016). Gender-role portrayals in television advertising across the globe. Sex Roles, 75(7-8), 314-327. This study is not directly relevant to the topic of anonymity, online disinhibition, and trolling behavior.
- Mittal, S., Das, P. K., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Baabdullah, A. (2021). Internet trolling: understanding its conceptual frame based on machine learning software and user-defined keywords. Journal of Business Research, 132, 682-696. This study explores the conceptual frame of internet trolling using machine learning software and user-defined keywords, which could potentially shed light on the role of anonymity and online disinhibition in enabling trolling behaviors.
- Parkins, R. (2012). Gender and emotional expressiveness: An analysis of prosodic features in emotional expression across genders. Griffith Working Papers in Pragmatics and Intercultural

Communication, 5(1/2), 46-54. This study is not directly relevant to the topic of anonymity, online disinhibition, and trolling behavior.

- Trammell, E. D. (2018). Gaslight ethos and the anthropological public(s) #anthropologysources. *Cultural Anthropology*, 2(1). This article discusses the concept of "gaslight ethos" in the context of anthropological research, which is not directly related to the topic of anonymity, online disinhibition, and trolling behavior.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research will be based on a comprehensive review and synthesis of existing literature on the role of anonymity and online disinhibition in enabling trolling behavior. The methodology will involve:

1. Conducting systematic searches in academic databases (e.g., Google Scholar, Web of Science, PsycINFO) using relevant keywords such as "online disinhibition," "internet anonymity," "trolling behavior," "online harassment," and "cyberbullying."
2. Identifying and retrieving relevant peer-reviewed journal articles, book chapters, conference proceedings, and other credible sources that address the relationship between anonymity, online disinhibition, and trolling tendencies.
3. Critically analyzing and synthesizing the findings from the collected literature to identify common themes, patterns, and insights related to the research objectives.
4. Examining the psychological factors underlying online disinhibition and their implications for promoting or inhibiting trolling behaviors.
5. Exploring the role of perceived anonymity in creating a perceived lack of accountability and facilitating trolling activities.
6. Evaluating the strengths and limitations of the existing research and identifying potential gaps or areas for future research.

By utilizing secondary data sources, this study aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge on the interplay between anonymity, online disinhibition, and trolling behavior, while also identifying potential avenues for further exploration and empirical investigation.

V. CONCLUSION

The prevalence of internet trolling has been fueled, in part, by the perceived anonymity and online disinhibition experienced by users in digital environments. This study has synthesized existing literature to explore the underlying mechanisms that enable trolling behavior through the lens of anonymity and online disinhibition.

The findings reveal that the perceived lack of accountability and reduced social constraints in online settings can contribute to a sense of disinhibition, where individuals feel more comfortable expressing themselves in ways they might otherwise refrain from in face-to-face interactions. This disinhibition effect, combined with the perceived anonymity of online platforms, can create an enabling environment for trolling activities.

Furthermore, the research highlights the psychological factors underlying online disinhibition, such as the dissociative anonymity and the minimization of authority. These factors can further exacerbate trolling tendencies by reducing the perceived consequences of one's actions and promoting a sense of detachment from social norms and expectations.

While anonymity and online disinhibition can facilitate self-expression and open discourse, they can also contribute to the manifestation of harmful behaviors like trolling. Addressing these issues requires a multifaceted approach involving technological solutions, moderation strategies, and educational initiatives aimed at promoting responsible online behavior and fostering a sense of accountability and empathy among users.

Future research should continue to explore the psychological underpinnings of online disinhibition and its relationship with trolling behavior, as well as investigate effective strategies for mitigating the negative impacts of anonymity and disinhibition in online environments. Collaboration among researchers, platform providers, policymakers, and online communities will be crucial in promoting healthier and more respectful online interactions.

VI. REFERENCE

- I. Suler, J. (2004). The online disinhibition effect. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 7(3), 321-326. <https://doi.org/10.1089/1094931041291295>
- II. Udris, R. (2014). Cyberbullying among high school students in Japan: Development and validation of the Online Disinhibition Scale. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 41, 253-261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.09.036>
- III. Hardaker, C. (2010). Trolling in asynchronous computer-mediated communication: From user discussions to academic definitions. *Journal of Politeness Research*, 6(2), 215-242. <https://doi.org/10.1515/JPLR.2010.011>
- IV. Coles, B. A., & West, M. (2016). Trolling the trolls: Online forum users' constructions of the nature and properties of trolling. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 60, 233-244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.02.070>
- V. Hmielowski, J. D., Hutchens, M. J., & Cicchirillo, V. J. (2014). Living in an age of online incivility: Examining the conditional indirect effects of online discussion on political flaming. *Information, Communication & Society*, 17(10), 1196-1211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2014.899609>
- VI. Fichman, P., & Sanfilippo, M. R. (2016). *Online trolling and its perpetrators: Under the cyberbridge*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- VII. Thacker, S., & Griffiths, M. D. (2012). An exploratory study of trolling in online video gaming. *International Journal of Cyber Behavior, Psychology and Learning*, 2(4), 17-33. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijcbpl.2012100102>
- VIII. Shachaf, P., & Hara, N. (2010). Beyond vandalism: Wikipedia trolls. *Journal of Information Science*, 36(3), 357-370. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165551510365390>
- IX. Craker, N., & March, E. (2016). The dark side of Facebook®: The Dark Tetrad, negative social potency, and trolling behaviours. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 102, 79-84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.06.043>
- X. Buckels, E. E., Trapnell, P. D., & Paulhus, D. L. (2014). Trolls just want to have fun. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 67, 97-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.01.016>

- XI. March, E., & Marrington, J. (2019). A Qualitative Analysis of Internet Trolling. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 22(3), 192-197. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2018.0210>
- XII. Nagle, A. (2017). *Kill all normies: Online culture wars from 4chan and Tumblr to Trump and the alt-right*. John Hunt Publishing.
- XIII. Phillips, W. (2015). *This is why we can't have nice things: Mapping the relationship between online trolling and mainstream culture*. MIT Press.
- XIV. Herring, S., Job-Sluder, K., Scheckler, R., & Barab, S. (2002). Searching for safety online: Managing" trolling" in a feminist forum. *The Information Society*, 18(5), 371-384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01972240290108186>
- XV. Nichol, A. (2017). From trolling to reckless expenditure: Social media and contemporary feminist activism. *Jaarboek voor Vrouwengeschiedenis*, 37, 113-137.
- XVI. Wohn, D. Y., Edwards, A., & Fiedler, C. (2013). Threadertainment: Conversations with Yahoos. *Proceedings of the 24th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media*, 63-72. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2481492.2481499>
- XVII. Golbeck, J., Ashktorab, Z., Banjo, R. O., Berlanga, A., Bhagwan, T., Buntain, C., ... & Jha, D. (2017). A large labeled corpus for online harassment research. *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on Web Science Conference*, 229-233. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3091478.3091509>
- XVIII. Kasumovic, M. M., & Kuzdowicz, L. (2021). Do COVID-19 conspiracy theory beliefs form a monological belief system? *Psychological Reports*, 124(6), 3035-3056. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294120981590>
- XIX. Maltby, J., Day, L., Gill, P., Colley, A., & Wood, A. M. (2008). Beliefs around imagery and memories of childhood and adolescent events, and their relationship with sadness. *Journal of Adolescence*, 31(1), 41-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2007.05.005>
- XX. Matthes, J., Prieler, M., & Adam, K. (2016). Gender-role portrayals in television advertising across the globe. *Sex Roles*, 75(7-8), 314-327. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-016-0617-y>
- XXI. Mittal, S., Das, P. K., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Baabdullah, A. (2021). Internet trolling: understanding its conceptual frame based on machine learning software and user-defined keywords. *Journal of Business Research*, 132, 682-696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.10.052>

- XXII. Parkins, R. (2012). Gender and emotional expressiveness: An analysis of prosodic features in emotional expression across genders. Griffith Working Papers in Pragmatics and Intercultural Communication, 5(1/2), 46-54.
- XXIII. Trammell, E. D. (2018). Gaslight ethos and the anthropological public(s) #anthropologysources. Cultural Anthropology, 2(1). <https://culanth.org/fieldsights/gaslight-ethos-and-the-anthropological-publics-anthropologysources>

